

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/072,582		02/04/2002	Kazuhiko Hachiya	112857-314	2820	
29175	7590	09/08/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BELL, BO P. O. BOX		LOYD, LLC	PESIN, BORIS M			
CHICAGO,		90-1135	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2174		
				DATE MAIL ED: 09/08/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/072,582	HACHIYA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Boris Pesin	2174			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 72-99 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 72-99 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This communication is responsive to the amendment filed 6/29/2006.

Claims 72-99 are pending in this application. Claims 72 and 81 are independent claims. In the amendment filed 6/29/2006, Claims 72 and 81 were amended and claims 88-99 were added as new. This action is made Non-Final.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 2174

Claims 72-99 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leahy et al. (US 6219045) in view of Weishut et al. (US 5923737).

In regards to claim 72, Leahy teaches, method of controlling an agent comprising: sending an agent parameter from an electronic system(i.e. "Current avatar position register 114 contains the current position and orientation of A's avatar in the virtual world. This position is communicated to other clients via network message processor 104. The position stored in register 114 is updated in response to input from input devices 116. For example, a mouse movement might be interpreted as a change in the current position of A's avatar." Column 5, Line 15); wherein said agent parameter defines a behavior of an agent (i.e. "Current avatar position register 114 contains the current position and orientation of A's avatar in the virtual world. This position is communicated to other clients via network message processor 104. The position stored in register 114 is updated in response to input from input devices 116. For example, a mouse movement might be interpreted as a change in the current position of A's avatar." Column 5, Line 15). Leahy does not teach setting a state of said agent in said electronic system to absent state in response to sending the agent parameter. Leahy further lacks generating said agent parameter if said agent parameter is not returned within a predetermined time period. Leahy further does not teach modifying the behavior of the agent in said electronic system in accordance with said.

Weishut teaches setting a state of said agent in said electronic system to absent state in response to sending the agent parameter (i.e. "FIG. 6 shows how the user can indicate, if he is absent or not and how the absent state is indicated. The user simply

Art Unit: 2174

indicates his absence/presence by switching the light switch 4. Absence is represented by a dark office as shown in the figure, while presence is represented by an illuminated office, just like in every day life. The control means are arranged for communicating the absence of the user of the terminal to other terminals, the users of those terminals thereby being informed of the absence of the user." Column 5, Line 47). Weishut further teaches generating said agent parameter if said agent parameter is not returned within a predetermined time period (i.e. "FIG. 6 shows how the user can indicate, if he is absent or not and how the absent state is indicated. The user simply indicates his absence/presence by switching the light switch 4. Absence is represented by a dark office as shown in the figure, while presence is represented by an illuminated office, just like in every day life. The control means are arranged for communicating the absence of the user of the terminal to other terminals, the users of those terminals thereby being informed of the absence of the user." Column 5, Line 47 and Figure 11, Element 514). Weishut further teaches, modifying the behavior of the agent is said electronic system in accordance with said state in said electronic system (i.e. "FIG. 6 shows how the user can indicate, if he is absent or not and how the absent state is indicated. The user simply indicates his absence/presence by switching the light switch 4. Absence is represented by a dark office as shown in the figure, while presence is represented by an illuminated office, just like in every day life. The control means are arranged for communicating the absence of the user of the terminal to other terminals, the users of those terminals thereby being informed of the absence of the user." Column 5, Line 47). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2174

at the time of the invention to modify Leahy with the teachings of Weishut and include a method to generate agent parameters under certain condition with the motivation to provide the user more details on the status of another user.

In regards to claim 73, Leahy teaches a method wherein said agent is an animated agent (Figure 1, Element 18).

In regards to claim 74, Leahy teaches a method wherein said behavior of said agent is determined by plurality of agent parameters (i.e. "Current avatar position register 114 contains the current position and orientation of A's avatar in the virtual world. This position is communicated to other clients via network message processor 104. The position stored in register 114 is updated in response to input from input devices 116. For example, a mouse movement might be interpreted as a change in the current position of A's avatar." Column 5, Line 15).

In regards to claim 75, Leahy teaches a method further comprising storing image data of said animated agent (Figure 4, Element 108).

In regards to claim 76, Leahy teaches a method further comprising detecting one or more events corresponding to said agent when said state is absent state in said electronic system and updating said agent parameters based on current agent parameters with each detected event such that the behavior of said virtual agent is continuously modified with each detected event (i.e. "Current avatar position register 114 contains the current position and orientation of A's avatar in the virtual world. This position is communicated to other clients via network message processor 104. The position stored in register 114 is updated in response to input from input devices 116.

Art Unit: 2174

For example, a mouse movement might be interpreted as a change in the current position of A's avatar." Column 5, Line 15).

In regards to claim 77, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method comprising sending information representing said predetermined time period along with said agent parameter (Weishut, Figure 11 Element 514, i.e. idle, inherent that there is a time).

In regards to claim 78, Leahy teaches a method further comprising receiving said agent parameters at said electronic system after sending said agent parameter (i.e. "In order that each user sees the correct location of each of the other avatars, each client machine sends its current location, or changes in its current location, to the server and receives updated position information of the other clients." Column 3, Line 25).

In regards to claim 79, Leahy and Weishut teach a method further comprising changing said state of said agent to existence state in response to receiving said agent parameter (Weishut Column 6, Lines 47-49).

In regards to claim 80, Leahy further teaches a method wherein said received agent parameter is a modified version of said agent parameter (i.e. "In order that each user sees the correct location of each of the other avatars, each client machine sends its current location, or changes in its current location, to the server and receives updated position information of the other clients." Column 3, Line 25).

Claim 81 is in the same context as claim 72; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 82 is in the same context as claim 75; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2174

Claim 83 is in the same context as claim 76; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 84 is in the same context as claim 77; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 85 is in the same context as claim 78; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 86 is in the same context as claim 79; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

Claim 87 is in the same context as claim 80; therefore it is rejected under similar rationale.

In regards to claim 88, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method of claim 72 wherein modifying the behavior in said electronic system of the agent includes removing an image associated with the agent from a display (Weishut, Column 5 Lines 47-55).

In regards to claim 89, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method of claim 72 wherein modifying the behavior in said electronic system of the agent includes displaying an image of the agent leaving an area (Weishut, Column 5 Lines 47-55).

In regards to claim 90, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method of claim 89 wherein the area is a room (Weishut, Column 5 Lines 47-55).

In regards to claim 91, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method of claim 72 wherein modifying the behavior in said electronic system of the agent includes displaying an animation of the agent leaving an area (Weishut, Column 5 Lines 47-55, switches from light to dark).

Art Unit: 2174

In regards to claim 92, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method of claim 91 wherein the area is a room (Weishut, Column 5 Lines 47-55).

In regards to claim 93, Leahy and Weishut further teach a method of claim 72 wherein said electronic system is a computer (Weishut, Column 5 Lines 47-55).

Claims 94-99 are similar in scope to claims 88-93 respectively; therefore they are rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 72-99 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Boris Pesin whose telephone number is (571) 272-4070.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday except every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-4063. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2174

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BP

KRISTINE KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Page 9